

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/627,091	07/25/2003	James B. Crews	304-25098-USCP	2246	
24923 7	590 07/31/2006		EXAMINER		
PAUL S MADAN			TUCKER, PHILIP C		
MADAN, MOSSMAN & SRIRAM, PC 2603 AUGUSTA, SUITE 700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	X 77057-1130		1712		
			DATE MAILED: 07/31/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/627,091	CREWS, JAMES B	CREWS, JAMES B.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Philip C. Tucker	1712			

			1
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with	the correspondence add	dress
THE REPLY FILED <u>09 June 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendmen tice of Appeal (with appeal fee	nt, affidavit, or other evide e) in compliance with 37 C	nce, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	ater than SIX MONTHS from the n	nailing date of the final reject	tion.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding am shortened statutory period for reply than three months after the mailing	ount of the fee. The approp y originally set in the final Off	riate extension fee fice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e	e)), to avoid dismissal of the	
3. M The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a	brief, will not be entered b	necause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see		,00000
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be		lly reducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or	corresponding number of final	ly raincted claims	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		iy rejected ciaiiris.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		n Compliant Amondment	(DTOL 324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		n-compliant Amendment	(P10L-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a sepa	rate, timely filed amendm	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		will be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under a y and was not earlier presente	appeal and/or appellant fa d. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(nils to provide a [1].
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims af	ter entry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the applicat	ion in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pa _l	per No(s)	
13. Other:		001	_
		- Spel	***********
		Philip C Tucker Primary Examiner	

Art Unit: 1712

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment to claim 1 to delete the absence of an oxidizer or enzyme breaker would again render the claims rejectable over Harms, since as shown in claim 2, the acid, and acid salts of the polyol are considered a part of the invention..